Good Thoughts, Good Words, Good Deeds.

An Old Avestan text, one of the closest in time to the Gathas, describes the Divine as,

"... O Lord Wisdom, beautiful through truth [asha-], ... Yasna Haptanghaiti, 35:3, my translation.

What makes a being beautiful through truth [asha-]?

Well, in English, truth usually means factual truths. But in Avestan, *asha-* (which is translated as 'truth') means an order that is true in the existence of matter and mind. It includes factual truths (all that is correct/accurate), as well as the truths of mind/heart/spirit (all that is correct/right), which in the Gathas includes such qualities as honesty, lovingkindness, generosity, friendship, compassion, being just (as in being fair) etc., and above all, intrinsic goodness in the superlative degree ~ 'most-good' (vahishta-).² In fact ashavahishta- became a standard term in later Avestan texts.

So in Zarathushtra's thought, the true order of existence is equated with intrinsic goodness in the superlative degree.³

We live in difficult times, when the good values we cherish are under seige. But Zarathushtra lived in even more difficult times, as did many ancient Zoroastrians. So let's take a look at how *they* thought we could make life beautiful through truth, starting with how we govern ourselves, which impacts our families, our communities, other living beings, our world.

Good rule (vohu xshathra) is a rule that serves. The Gathas say,

"... One chooses that rule of good thinking allied with truth in order to serve..."

Gathas, Yasna 51, verse 18, Insler translation, 1975;

"... fame is to serve Thee and the truth, [mazda-'Wisdom'], under Thy rule."

Gathas, Y32:6, Insler 1975.

Now, truth, good thinking, and their rule are divine qualities that we also have, although incompletely, imperfectly. So how do we translate these divine qualities into reality? With another divine quality, which is also a component of good rule (Y51:4),⁴ and which we also have ~ incompletely, imperfectly. Speaking of these divine qualities (in the Divine and in mortals ~ Avestan has no capital letters), Zarathushtra says,

'But to this (mortal existence) One/one comes, with (good) rule, with good thinking, and with the true order of existence', 'and enduring [armaiti-] gives form, breath (to them). ...' Gathas Y30:7 my translation.⁵

How does *armaiti* give form, breath to good rule, good thinking, the true order of existence? It can only do so with thoughts, words and actions that bring truth to life, give it substance.⁶

Indeed Zarathushtra says,

"...Through its actions, [armaiti-] gives substance to the truth ..."

Gathas, Yasna 44:6, Insler 1975.

Referring to this divine quality - armaiti - in mortals, he says,

"[spenta-'beneficial'] is the man of [armaiti-'embodied truth']. He is so by reason of his understanding, his words, his actions,

Good Thoughts, Good Words, Good Deeds.

his [daena 'envisionment']..." Gathas Y51:21, Insler 1975.

" ... the beneficent man ...

He serves truth, during his rule,
with good word and good action. ..." Gathas Y31:22, Insler 1975.

So each time we make a choice, which brings truth to life, gives it form and breath, substance, with our choices in thought, word and action, we help to make life, our our world, beautiful through truth.

These ideas from the Gathas were turned into a famous sound byte which has defined the religion since Zarathushtra's time or close to it ~ 'good thoughts, good words, good deeds'. The Yasna Haptanghaiti (composed in Old Avestan, but not part of the Gathas) says,

'We are praisers in song, not deriders, of good thoughts, of good words, of good actions ~ here and elsewhere ~ of (those that) are being produced, and of (those that) have been produced. Through effort, in taking a stand, we are of the good'.

Yasna Haptanhaiti, 35:2 (my translation).

And we find the same commitment in the much later *Jasa Me Avanghe Mazda* prayer quoting from Yy12, which was composed in an archaic form of Younger Avestan.

aastuye humatem mano I commit to the good/true-conceived thought,

aastuye huxtem vaco I commit to the good/true-spoken word,

aastuye hvarshtem shyaothanem I commit to the good/true-performed action,

aastuye daenam vanguhim⁸ mazdayasnim I commit to the wisdom-worshipping, good envisionment,

fraspayaoxedhram nidhasnaithishem, xvaetvadatham, ashaonim, (which is) quarrel-removing, laying down weapons, giving of one's self, truth-possessing,

...

mazishtacha, vahishtacha, sraeshtacha most great, most good, most beautiful." my translation.

...

'Most great' mazishta is not used in a competitive sense.

It is a greatness of quality (the greatness of truth which the Divine personifies, the greatness of wisdom/Wisdom).

And it is truth, personified in thought, word, and action, that is most good, most beautiful ~ the wisdom/Wisdom worshipping envisionment brought to life, given form, breath, substance.

Parenthetically, 'laying down weapons' is simply a figure of speech, for 'creating a peaceful existence'. I have seen no evidence ~ neither in the Gathas, nor in any later text ~ of buying peace at the cost of surrendering to evil in Zarathushtra's teachings. ¹⁰ In the Gathas, among the problems that caused suffering in Zarathushtra's society, were predatory violence, cruelty (Y29, Y32). And in later Avestan texts, we see much evidence that tribal wars were endemic in that culture. So to make everyone 'lay down weapons', stop predatory violence, predatory tribal wars, would have helped to bring about peace, lessen cruelty and suffering.

But this little triad ~ good thoughts, good words, good deeds ~ has an added dimension.

In Zarathushtra's thought, paradise is not a place of reward in the afterlife. It is a state of being that we become – starting and eventually reaching completeness in mortal existence – enabling the transition (crossing the bridge) to an existence no longer bound by mortality.

One of the names for paradise, in the Gathas and later texts, is an existence that is the superlative degree of intrinsic goodness 'most-good existence (ahu vahishta). And a later Avestan text tells us, in metaphoric steps, how a person attains this most-good existence that is paradise (in the Avestan text, the term that Darmesteter translates as "Paradise" is ahu vahishta 'most-good existence'). This later Avestan text says,

"The first step ... placed him in the Good-Thought Paradise [ahu-vahishta-];

The second step ... placed him in the Good-Word Paradise [ahu-vahishta];

The third step ... placed him in the Good-Deed Paradise [ahu-vahishta-];

The fourth step ... placed him in the Endless Lights." Avestan Fragment 22, § 15 Darmesteter translation. 11

Endless Light(s) is the paradise of a state of being that is enlightenment.

And in the Pahlavi Bundahishn the Divine is named, 'Endless Light'. 12

Interestingly, later Avestan texts describe the Endless Light(s) as 'self-made' xwadhata. I have footnoted a few examples. In other words, we have to create, make, the enlightened state of being that is Endless Light ~ paradise ~ with our thoughts, words and actions that embody truth ~ factual truths, and the truths of mind/heart/spirit. Was the Divine a part of this process of 'self-making' 'Endless Light'? The answer to this question (as I see it in the Gathas) is yes, and is discussed in other chapters. ¹⁴

To summarize:

- (1) Our good thoughts, good words, good actions, are how we serve, how we make existence our world beautiful, through the integrity, the goodness, of truth (asha-vahishta-); and
- (2) Our good thoughts, good words, good actions, help us to become (in thought, word and action) the paradise of a most-good existence (*ahu-vahishta-*) ~ truth personified, an enlightened state of being (Endless Light), which is wisdom/Wisdom (*mazda-* ~ Zarathushtra's most used name for the Divine).¹⁵

So each time we choose a good thought, a good word, a good action, we bring to life a little bit of the Divine, a touch of paradise, in our world and in ourselves. We help to make life beautiful through truth, which is how we heal existence from all that is false, ignorant, wrong, harming, cruel, predatory, destructive.

"... Therefore, may we be those who shall heal this world ..." Gathas, Y30:9, Insler 1975.

* * * * * * *

¹ For ease of reading, in this short piece, I show Avestan words in stem form, and without transliteration (except in footnotes). And all references to *Parts One* through *Six*, are to the *Parts* of this web-book.

² As the Ashem Vohu prayer also states: ashem vohu vahishtem asti

The true order of existence (is) good; the most good (existence) it is,' my translation. In Avestan, the superlative degree is often used as a crescendo of expression. And this first line of the Ashem Vohu mirrors a style that we see in other Avestan texts (e.g. the *Hormazd* (Ormazd) Yasht) ~ in which a description goes from the positive (good), to the

crescendo of the superlative (most good) in the same line. Discussed in *Part One: The Manthra Of Truth*, with examples given.

³ In Zarathushtra's thought, existence has been ordered in a true (correct) wholly good way, and this applies to the material existence which is the arena for the perfecting process, detailed in *Part One*: A *Friendly Universe*; and in *Part Two*: Asha & The Checkmate Solution. Of course, Earth is just one planet in our solar system, which is an insignificant solar system in the Milky Way Galaxy, which is a small galaxy in the (known) universe (which universe may just be part of many universes!). So we cannot reasonably conclude (or speculate) that the entire material universe(s) provides an arena for the perfecting process. We simply do not have sufficient knowledge to even speculate (reasonably) what the purposes of the entire universe(s) might be. (As Mr. Spock would say 'Insufficient data Captain').

But Zarathushtra's teachings ~ truth for truth's own sake as a process of growth (material and spiritual) ~ provides a beneficial framework for (a) viewing existence, (b) living on our own planet, and (c) at least viewing and learning about less known aspects of what else exists ~ other life forms, the environment, here on Earth, and in other universe(s).

"Where shall there be protection instead of injury?

Where shall mercy [mərəždikā 'compassion'] take place?

Where truth [aša-] which attains glory?

Where [spanta- ārmaiti- 'beneficial embodied truth']?

Where the very best thinking [vahišta- manah-]?

Where, Wise One [mazda-], through Thy rule?" Y51:4, Insler 1975.

Words in square brackets and black font are my (linguistically defensible) translation choices because (in my view) they are more consistent with Zarathushtra's thought in the Gathas. The notion of 'mercy' as a reprieve from punishment is not relevant to Zarathushtra's thought, in which there is no notion of damnation in a hell of tortures. There is only the law of consequences ~ that we reap what we sow. Our difficult experiences ~ earned and unearned ~ are not for punishment, but for enlightenment. They are an essential part of the process of spiritual growth, which would be defeated if we were reprieved from experiencing them (detailed in *Part One: A Friendly Universe*; and in *Part Two: Asha & The Checkmate Solution*). And 'compassion' for mərəždikā (instead of 'mercy') is one of several translation options suggested by Bartholomae. This Gatha verse, Y51:4, and Zarathushtra's teaching of good rule is discussed in more detail in *Part One: Good Rule, Vohu Xshathra, & Power*.

⁴ There is a lovely description of good rule in the Vohu Xshathra Gatha (the 'Good Rule Gatha'). It says,

⁵ The Gatha verse Y30:7 contains beautiful, multi-dimensioned thoughts, which we can see in a more literal translation, detailed in *Part Six: Yasna 30:7*, which also contains translations of this verse by eminent linguists, including Insler's 1975 translation.

⁶ Linguists (and also practicing Zoroastrians) are in substantial disagreement regarding the meaning of *armaiti*. The only meaning that fits each use of this word in the Gathas, is *truth embodied in thought, word and action* (or *embodied truth* for short), detailed in *Part One*: *Embodied Truth*, *Aramaiti*. Although written in 3 syllables ~ *ar*-mai-ti ~ many scholars agree that, based on the meters of the Gathas in which this word appears, it originally was pronounced in four syllables ~ *ar*-a-mai-ti ~ with the 2d 'a' pronounced as in 'fur'.

⁷ The prefix *hu*- in these words *humatəm*, *hūx təm*, and *hvarštəm* (*hu-varštəm*) literally means 'good', but there are no fluent literal English equivalents for these three words which describe a commitment to thinking, speaking and acting in a good way. For example, a literal translation of the term *aastuye humatem mano* would be I commit to mind/thinking/thought [*mano*] (that is) good-conceived [*humatem*]. Awkward, and it does not convey the underlying idea effectively. Nor do the one word English equivalents for *humatem* 'well-thought', *huxtem* 'well-spoken', *hvarshtem* 'well-done', because 'well' does not convey the idea of 'intrinsic goodness' which is the essence of the nature of such thinking, speaking and doing, and in the Gathas is equated with the true order of existence (*asha*-).

Taraporewala's translation, 'true-conceived' although not literal, captures more closely the meaning of *humata*, *huxta*, *hwreshta*, in that goodness is an order of existence that is 'true (correct)'. But his translation also does not specifically translate the prefix *hu*- as 'good'. Taraporewala's translation reads,

"... I ... dedicate myself to the true-conceived thought; ... to the true-spoken word; ... to the true-performed act..." I. J. S. Taraporewala translation, in A Few Daily Prayers from the Zoroastrian Scriptures (Hukhta Foundation 1986 reprint of the 1939 original) p. 17.

My take? Well, since good thinking is the comprehension of truth, I think to capture the original (archaic YAv.) intent, we need to use both 'good' and 'true'. Thus:

aastuye humatem manoI commit to the good/true-conceived [humatem] thought [mano],aastuye huxtem vacoI commit to the good/true-spoken [huxtem] word [vaco],aastuye hvarshtem shyaothanemI commit to the good/true-performed [hvarshtem] action [shyaothanem].

⁸ These words of the *Jasa Me Avanghe Mazda* prayer are quoted from the Archaic Younger Avestan Yasna (Yy12). And according to Geldner, the word *vanguhim* here appears in only 2 surviving manuscripts, ~ P14 and M26 (Geldner 1P p. 62, ft. 1 of paragraph 9). But during Sasanian times, when the *Khordeh Avesta* prayers were crafted, this quotation from Yy12 may have included *vanguhim* here, because the 'good envisionment' (*daenam vanguhim*) of the Gathas evolved into the *din* (religion) of the Pahlavi texts, which also was routinely called 'good' ~ *din-i-behi* 'the religion of goodness' (see *Part Three: Daena*).

Parenthetically, the word *vanguhim* is simply a grammatical form (accusative sg. fem.) of the conjectured stem *vohu* 'good'. The adj. *vanguhim* is acc. sg. fem. because the noun it describes *~ daenam ~* is acc. sg. fem. *~* the fem. gender in both instances being purely grammatical *~* both men and women can have 'good envisionment'.

⁹ In the phrase *fraspāyaox əðram niðāsnaiðiṣəm x¹aētvadaðam aṣaonīm* the first and third words are problematic to translate. The context of these four words indicates that they are a string of adjectives describing the immediately preceding good envisionment (*daēnam*) which is the worship of wisdom/Wisdom (*māzdayasnīm*) there are no capital letters in Avestan script. And the inflections (grammatical endings) of these four words indicate that they are accusative sg. fem (which matches the acc. sg. fem. gender of the noun *daēnam* 'envisionment' ~ the gender of both the noun and its adjs. being purely grammatical). But I have not found the meanings of the (conjectured) stems of the first and third words in any of the Glossaries by Indo-Iranian philologists that are available to me. The words niðāsnaiðiṣəm and aṣaonīm can be translated without much difficulty. So let us start with those two.

niòāsnaiðiṣṣ̄ơm. Skjaervo's definition (in his 2006 YAv. Glossary, which unfortunately may no longer be available on line) for the stem niòā.snaiðiṣˇ- is 'laying down (his/her) weapon(s)', but his 2006 YAv. Glossary gives no linguistic explanations. Jackson 1892 shows that the -əm inflection is acc. sg. for masc., fem., and ntr. noun and adj. stems ending in consonents (like viṣˇ- fem. 'village'), (§ 279, p. 82). So the -əm inflection of niòāsnaiðiṣəm fits the acc. sg. fem. declensions of the string of adjs. with which it appears, all of which describe fem. daēnam 'envisionment'.

aṣ̌aonīm 'truth-possessing' (sometimes less literally translated as 'truthful') ~ the adjective of aṣ̌a- 'truth', a ntr. noun. But although aṣ̌a- is a ntr. noun, its adj. ('truth-possessing' or 'truth-filled') has both a masc./ntr. form aṣ̌avan-; and a fem. form aṣ̌aonī- Skjaervo 2003. Why? Well, in Avestan each adjective has masc., ntr., and fem. forms because in Avestan, an adj. has to be in the same grammatical gender as the noun it describes; so the masc./ntr. adj. aṣ̌avan- 'truth-possessing' would be used to describe a (grammatically) masc. or ntr. noun, and the fem. adj. aṣ̌aonī- 'truth-possessing' would be used to describe a (grammatically) fem. noun. And according to Jackson 1892, the -īm inflection (in aṣ̌aonīm) is acc. sg. for fem. aṣ̌aonī- (§ 257, p. 76).

Which brings us to the two problematic words:

fraspāyaox εδram 'quarrel-removing'. This is Taraporewala's definition (from his short book of prayers, which offers no linguistic explanation). I am inclined to think this word originally consisted of more than one word, which through frequent use together became a compound word, and then one word. But I was not able to find this word, or any component parts of this word, in Avestan glossaries available to me. We can surmise that fraspāyaox εδram would

have to be an acc. sg. fem. adj. because it describes the immediately preceding acc. sg. fem. noun *daēnam* 'envisionment'. Jackson 1892 shows the -*qm* inflection is acc. sg. for fem. *ā*- stem nouns and adjectives, §243, p. 72. So although no Indo-Iranian philologist has identified the stem of *fraspāyaox δδram* (in any glossary available to me), we can surmise that this is an *ā*- stem adj. and that its grammatical value is acc. sg. fem.

*x'aētvā.dāðam literally 'self-giving' or in more fluent English, 'giving of one's self ~ a generosity in thought, word and action'. This word has been translated by an early generation of Avestan linguists as 'next of kin marriage', with no linguistic analysis in English (of which I am aware) to explain how this Avestan term would support such a translation. Skjaervo 2003 shows the stem x'aētu- 'family'; (in the Gathas, Insler also translates x'aētu- words as 'family'). But I am not aware of any Indo-Iranian philologist who has recently revisited x'aētvadaðam, (in English) and offered a linguistically defensible analysis of a new meaning, or of the (older conjectured) meaning 'next of kin marriage'. So let us see what sense we can make of this word.

Manuscript variations: (Geldner 1P p. 62, ft. 4 of Y12:9)

It is difficult to tell in my reprint of Geldner, whether he shows this term as one word, or a compound word, or two separate words, because $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}tva$ is on one line, and $da\vartheta qm$ is on the next line, with no clear word divider [space dot space] in my reprint copy of Geldner). And he footnotes 2 manuscript variations of this term in Yasna 12 each of which show it as one word (J3 $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}tavada\vartheta qm$; F2 $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}tvada\vartheta \vartheta m$).

Kanga shows *xvaetvadatham* as one word (in his *Khordeh Avesta*, in the *Jasa Me Avanghe Mazda* prayer ~ which quotes from Yy12).

Grammatical value (declension): Because x*aētvadaθqm appears in a string of acc. sg. fem. adjs. (which describe the acc. sg. fem noun daēnqm), in attempting to decode this word, it would be reasonable to conclude that x*aētvadaθqm also is an acc. sg. fem. adjective.

Suggested translation: I suggest that this one word started out as two separate words, which became a compound word (with a long \bar{a} in both $x^a \bar{e} t v \bar{a}$ and $d\bar{a} \partial q m$), and then one word. So I will attempt to decode it as a compound word (which helps to arrive at its grammatical value and meaning). Thus:

* $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}t\nu\bar{a}$. $d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$ acc. sg. fem. literally 'self-giving' consisting of 2 members * $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}t\nu\bar{a}$ 'self' and $d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$ 'giving'. (The asterisk I have placed before the word indicates that it is conjectured).

In Avestan, when 2 words are frequently used together, they often evolve into a compound word, and then into one word (discussed with examples in *Part Three: Evolution Of The Name(s) Ahura, Mazda*). This is also true in English where 2 words used together may first become hyphenated, and then one word, (e.g. 'suitcase', 'babysit'). I will discuss it as a compound word so that you can see the grammatical values of its 2 members (which affect its meaning).

In Avestan compound words that have 2 members, it is only the 2d member (here $*d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$) that is inflected for grammatical form. The first member of such a compound word (here $*x^va\bar{e}tv\bar{a}$) normally is not inflected.

I think the form $*x^*a\bar{e}tv\bar{a}.d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$ and its translation 'self-giving' are linguistically defensible, and offer the following explanation, Let us start with the 2d member $*d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$.

For *daϑqm

The verb $d\bar{a}$ - means 'to give, to make, to produce, to establish'; linguists are not in dispute regarding these flavors of meaning (originally, this verb may have come from 2 separate roots, but by Old Avestan times, it had evolved to one root which included the meanings of both roots).

Nouns and adjectives often are created from a root, or a verb stem, to which various suffixes are added (Jackson 1892, §759, p. 213).

Skjaervo 2003 shows a number of masc./ntr. nouns/adjs. which in his opinion derived from the verb $d\bar{a}$ - with the suffix a, (all meanings reflect Skjaervo's opinion) such as $d\bar{a}ta$ - ntr. noun 'established rules'; $d\bar{a}\vartheta a$ - adj. 'according to established rules'; $d\bar{a}\vartheta a$ - ntr. noun 'act of giving'; however the meanings he ascribes to these words are disputed by other Indo-Iranian philologists ~ especially $d\bar{a}\vartheta ra$ - which is widely disputed. So we see that some of the nouns/adjs. derived from the verb $d\bar{a}$ - have not yet been decoded with certainty (nor have I made (or seen) any analyses of all the contexts in which each such words are used). The only certainty is a general rule that nouns/adjs. can be formed by adding to a verb, suffixes, including a (masc./ntr.) and \bar{a} (fem.).

Jackson 1892 shows that the suffix $-\bar{a}$ is used to create feminine nouns/adjectives from roots and verbs. § 769, p. 216.

I propose the fem. adj. * $d\bar{a}\vartheta\bar{a}$ - 'act of giving' (a noun), derived from the root $d\bar{a}$ - with the suffix \bar{a} , the acc. sg. fem. form of which would be $d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$ (the -qm inflection is acc. sg. for fem. \bar{a} - stems, Jackson 1892 §243, p. 72).

As the 2d member of the compound word $*x^{\nu}a\bar{e}t\nu\bar{a}.d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$, the acc. sg. fem. declension of $d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$ governs the entire word, and fits the string of other acc. sg. fem adjs. in which this compound word appears.

For *x^vaētvā

Skjaervo 2006 shows a pronoun x^*a - "own" ~ as in '(one's) own (self)'. And he shows the masc. noun $x^*a\bar{e}tu$ - 'family' likely derived from the root x^*a - 'own'.

Jackson 1892 shows that the suffixes va (masc.) and $v\bar{a}$ (fem.) are used to create a great number of nouns/adjectives from roots (and verbs), (§759, # 43, p. 213; and §819, p. 226).

I propose the fem. adj. * $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}t\nu\bar{a}$ - 'self', derived from the root $x^{\nu}a$ - 'own' with the fem. suffix $\nu\bar{a}$.

* $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}t\nu\bar{a}$ (as the first member of the compound word) is not inflected for grammatical value. The acc. sg. fem. inflection of the 2d member * $d\bar{a}\vartheta am$ governs the grammatical value of the entire word.

Thus $*x^{\nu}a\bar{e}t\nu\bar{a}.d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$ 'one's/own/self ~ giving'; or in more fluent English, 'giving of one's self.

Advantages:

Ththe foregoing solution has the following advantages:

It is linguistically defensible.

It gives a linguistically accurate (acc. sg. fem.) grammatical value to $*x^*a\bar{e}tv\bar{a}.d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$ which fits the string of acc. sg. fem. adjs. in which $*x^*a\bar{e}tv\bar{a}.d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$ appears.

And it requires only one emendation (twice) ~ changing a short a in each member to a long \bar{a} * $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}t\nu\bar{a}.d\bar{a}\vartheta qm$, both of which are chanted as a long \bar{a} in any event, increasing the probability that the short a (in manuscripts) in both $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}t\nu a$ and $da\vartheta qm$ are scribal errors.

¹⁰ The pros and cons of whether or not Zarathushtra believed in unconditional non-violence, is discussed in *Part One: Love.*

Bear in mind that throughout the ancient texts, 'light' is used as a metaphor for 'truth', its comprehension 'good thinking', its personification 'Wisdom' ~ the Divine, an enlightened state of being.

The verb $d\bar{a}$ - (from which $x^{\nu}a\delta\bar{a}ta$ -is derived) means 'to give, produce, make, establish'.

Therefore $x^{\nu}a\delta\bar{a}ta$ - would have all these flavors of meaning ~ 'self-given, self-produced, self-made, self-established'.

There is no one-word English equivalent that has all these flavors of meaning.

But in the quotations that follow, if you read all the Avestan flavors of meaning into the $x^a \delta \bar{a}ta$ - words, you will see the lovely dimensions of meaning that would have been obvious to ancient Zoroastrians, as they sang (or chanted) the quotations below:

- ~ that we make, produce light (truth, the true order of existence) from (imperfect) divine qualities within us,
- ~ that we do not just make light for ourselves, but also give light to all the living (helping each other make it, being generous ~ but not controlling!), and
- ~ that we help to establish light in our world (by ruling ourselves with truth, its comprehension, its embodiment in thought, word and action, which in turn impacts the ways in which we govern our many social units),
- thus each of us each self creates the ultimate good end truth personified an enlightened state of being,

Here are 2 examples from YAv. texts of the endless lights being $x^*a\delta\bar{a}ta$ - 'self-given, self-produced, self-made, self-established'. The necessities of translation require that I pick one. So I have picked 'self-made'. But if you think of each flavor of meaning based on its derivation from $d\bar{a}$ - ('to give, produce, make, establish) you will see the richness of the Avestan original.

¹¹ SBE 23, p. 317.

¹² Bundahishn, Ch. 1, § 5; E. W. West translation SBE 5, p. 4.

Here are a few examples of the endless lights being "self made" [$x^{\nu}a\delta\bar{a}ta$ -].

" The endless lights, self-made, we celebrate [anaγra raocå x aδātå yazamaide] ...' Sirozah 2:30 my translation, Av. words from Geldner, 2P p. 267.

'Whenever, O truth-possessing Right Judgment [raṣ̌nvō aṣ̌āum], you are in the self-made [x̄aðāta] endless lights [anaγra raocä]. ...' Rashn Yasht, Yt. 12.35, my translation, Av. words from Geldner, Part 2, p. 167. 'Right Judgment' would of course exist in (be a quality of) enlightenment (endless lights).

If you are interested in the linguistics of $x^{\nu}a\delta\bar{a}ta$, the following may be of use.

Skjaervo 2003 seems unsure of the meaning of $x^{\nu}a\delta\bar{a}ta$ - ~ placing a question mark after his definition "set in place by oneself (itself, themselves)?"

Darmesteter (who translated when the decoding of Avestan was at an earlier stage) translates $x^{*}a\delta\bar{a}ta$ - as 'sovereign' in the Rashn Yasht and in the Sirozah. But, with respect, I disagree. The Av. words related to 'rule' or 'sovereignty' do not derive from $x^{*}a$ -, but from $x\,\bar{s}a$ - 'to have command, middle voice 'to rule (over: gen.)' Skjaervo 2006; and $x\,\bar{s}a$ - has generated $x\,\bar{s}a\theta ra$ - 'rule', and also 'ruler' (dasta mazda $x\,\bar{s}a\eta a$ "And do Thou give, Wise Ruler ..." Gathas, Y28:7 Insler 1975).

Discussed and detailed in Part One: The Identity Of The Divine, and in Part Two:
The Puzzle Of Creation,
The Puzzle Of The Singular & The Plural, and
Did Wisdom Choose Too?

¹⁵ Detailed in Part Three: Evolution Of The Name(s) Ahura, Mazda.